UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,484	01/04/2007	Beyong-Hwan Ryu	930086-2015	4123	
Ronald R Santu	7590 06/07/201 cci	EXAMINER			
Frommer Lawre		HENDRICKSON, STUART L			
745 Fifth Avent New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/07/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/551,4	184	RYU ET AL.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Stuart He	endrickson	1793			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on th	ne cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuce to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ry period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION EVENT, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from explication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 08 April 2010					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for			osecution as to the	e merits is		
<i>/</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-11</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1, 3-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from c					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	o) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	· ·		-	, ,		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen 1)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-592) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over 7001581.

The reference teaches, especially in col. 5-11 and ex. 1, making nanotubes from nanometals (compare to present specification) under supercritical conditions. The hydrocarbon is exposed to these conditions during synthesis, even though an additional material is referred-to. Cooling is axiomatic in the recovery of the product. While the reference does not teach the compounds enumerated, the teaching of acid salts and enumeration of formate and oxalate renders the claims obvious, since these are organic acid anions (like actetate).15 Mpa is about 1.5 atmospheres. As to claims 4, 9 and 10, the above does not explicitly teach the relative amounts and cooling rates, however these are routine variations to one of ordinary skill to optimize reaction time and throughput for large-scale processing.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no exemplification of a pressure of 1 atm. No carbon materials are identified which can meet this parameter. As claim 1 requires critical conditions, this pressure appears impossible. Clarification is requested.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The specification lacks recitation of 1 atm, and this does not appear plausible. Reference to page and line is requested. Applicant should point out a material in the specification which has a critical point of 1 atm. or a passage with this verbiage. This is not an enablement rejection; it appears that such a material does not exist which corresponds to the

Application/Control Number: 10/551,484 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

lower limit claimed. The argument about the catalyst overlooks the use of organic acid salts, as noted above.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

/Stuart Hendrickson/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793